CAPRA APRIL 2005

DIABETES: Current Practice, Future Trends

The Impact of Guidelines and Recently Completed Studies on Clinical Trial Design

INSULIN

Dr Norman Viner Clinical Trial Division Chief Centre for Evaluation of Radiopharmaceuticals and Biologics Biologic and Genetic Therapies Directorate Health Products and Food Branch Health Canada



Overview

- Expectations for all clinical trials:
- Insulin General
- Hypoglycemia
- Efficacy and safety issues for recently Completed Studies with Inhaled/oral insulin
- Conclusions

Clinical Trial Design Issues for Insulin:

In general as for other biologic products

different than for oral hypoglycemic agents
 Rx effects are more direct and evident

Hence better understood

Clinical Trial Design Expectations:

- subjects should receive:
 - adequate dietary and lifestyle counselling
 - adequate treatment for concurrent conditions
- subjects should self-monitor blood glucose daily or as directed and self-monitor body weight at frequent intervals
- monitoring during the trial should conform to the CDA guidelines

Clinical Trial Design CDA Glucose Monitoring Targets:

- Improved glucose control = reduced risk of morbidity (less macro- and micro-vascular disease) & mortality
- short-term hyperglycemia has impact
- HbA1c <7% or FPG = 4.0-7.0 mmol/L
- target HbA1c attainable 6 to 12 months for Type 2
 - HbA1c >9% considered marked hyperglycemia and the recommended treatment includes 2 antihyperglycemic agents or insulin

clinical trials must be designed within this context

i.e. current standards of care (practice guidelines) are applicable when "proving" efficacy or collecting safety data

Insulin Therapy:

- Insulin mostly now recombinant
- Analogues designed to improve pharmacokinetics
- Classified by duration, onset and peak action times
- Premixed insulin used alone more frequently in Type 2 but can be part of therapy in type 1 as well
- Inhaled/oral insulin in the pipeline may be others... e.g. Patch

Animal vs. human insulin: (according to the CDA practice guidelines)

No significant clinical difference wrt
symptomatic response
frequency of hypoglycemia

 no proof animal insulin afford advantages regarding hypoglycemia awareness
 Perhaps the contrary

Insulin regiments:

- Requirements tend to increase with time (after initial 'honeymoon' period)
- Basal bolus over longer acting (once or twice daily) + shorter acting insulin for food intake

Hypoglycemia recommendations:

- Early identification of risk factors and strategies
- Hypoglycemia unawareness:
 - more frequent monitoring,
 - less stringent controls,
 - > freq. of injections
- Awareness of Somogyi Effect:
 - Caused by the release of stress hormones
 - high FPG level from an extremely low level
 - (usually occurring after an untreated insulin reaction during the night)
 - Subjects with high FPG levels may need to test PG in the night.
- Insulin glargine or detemir
 - should be considered when there is difficulty with FPG
 - or overnight hypoglycaemia

Targets for Glycemic Control: For most / (and when tighter controls are deemed safe):

HgA1C: ≤ 7 / (6)
FPG: 4-7 / (4-6)
2hr PPG: 5-10 / (5-8)

Insulin Glargine:

 insulin analog indicated for o.d. SC administration

- Type 1 or Type 2 DM who require basal insulin for the control of hyperglycemia
- NOC: April 3, 2002

Insulin Applications to BGTD:

(Biologic and Genetic Therapies Directorate)

CTA's:

6 products

~ 45 trials over past 5 years

NDS's:

insulin detemir

insulin aspart and insulin glargine approved

General Recommendations; Insulin Type 2 DM:

Multiple daily injections (3 or 4 per day)

Combinations of:

- Long and short acting regimes preferred to regular insulin alone for 'smoother control'
- Continuous Subcutaneous Insulin Pumps
 - Long acting insulin should be used in addition to the insulin in the pump

Clinical Trials; Insulin Type 2 DM:

Sample regimes in the Canadian Practice Guidelines include:

- 100% long acting insulin at bedtime
- 2 insulin injections premixed (2/3 in am 1/3 in pm)
- Intensive regimes (40% long acting at baseline, 20% of total at mealtimes – inhaled/oral insulin formulations fit in here in Type 2)
- typically treatment naïve or poorly controlled diabetes
 - HbA1c of 6.5–10.0%
 - generally for whom two oral agents are not providing tight enough controls as per guidelines.
 - This is beginning to change with newer longer acting insulins.

Clinical Trials; Type 2 Diabetes Phase 2 trials

- typically placebo-controlled proof-of-concept dose ranging studies
- 12-24 weeks duration
- add-on to oral therapy
- objective is to demonstrate (E10 ICH);
 - non inferiority
 - or superiority to comparable alternatives
 - and choose dose for phase 3 studies

Clinical Trials; Type 2 Diabetes Phase 3 trials (Confirmatory)

- typically 1-2 years duration
- objective is to demonstrate efficacy and safety

efficacy:

- non-inferiority or equivalence to an accepted treatment
- for new insulin (including inhaled/oral insulin 1 year minimum for filing)

Clinical Trials: inhaled / oral insulin New efficacy/quality and safety issues

Efficacy/quality issues

Potential issues of;

- dose delivery
- Variability
- Consistency
- new devices associated with products
- Optimization of therapies in each arm of CT
 - compared (sc insulin vs. oral/inhaled) must be consistent
 - Very important for both non inferiority and superiority designs

Safety issues inhaled/oral insulin

- New route of administration,
 - Variability of absorption pattern hypoglycemia
 - Different theoretical concerns for autoimmunity
 - new devices associated with products
- Monitoring Pulmonary Function: PFT
 - Significant cough
 - short and long term studies
 - special populations
 - (COPD, Asthmatics, elderly, paediatric, etc.)
- Others yet to be identified



Conclusions

- Old guidelines vs. new
 - not as much of a problem for interpretation/review as with oral agents
- Regulatory approval of Insulin Studies
 - evidence-based with case-by-case adjustment
- review is aimed at safeguarding clinical trial participants
- Sponsor's obligation to follow Good Clinical Practices Under Division 5 (C.05.010):
 - includes current practice guidelines
 - Where Rx guidelines do not exist consensus statements should be taken into account
- well presented functional outcomes more easily analyzed
- facilitate review
 - ultimately assist in bringing new and better Insulins to the market