

The Case for a New Framework for Regulating Drug Quality

CAPRA Workshop

24-25 April, 2007

Montreal

Mike Ward

International Programs Division

Therapeutic Products Directorate

Purpose of Presentation

- To initiate Progressive Licensing discussions with stakeholders on the design of a new regulatory model for drug quality

What is Quality?

Within the pharmaceutical context:

- Suitability of a drug substance or the subsequent drug product for its intended use; includes attributes of identity, purity and strength
(- ICH Q6A)
- An acceptably low risk of failing to achieve the desired clinical attribute (- Janet Woodcock, FDA 2004)
- All definitions embody principles of consistency in producing product of intended performance, attributes and composition.

Why is a Change Necessary?

- Quality of drugs marketed in Canada generally very good based on low level of recalls/complaints
- Sites involved in the manufacture of drugs generally in compliance with GMP
- By these measures, current system has worked well, *but* at what cost?
 - Are resources being used effectively by both industry and Health Canada?
 - Does the current system position us well to handle future challenges?

Consider the Current State

- Pharmaceutical sector one of the most heavily regulated – and most resistant to change
 - “procrustean” state (J. Berridge, Pfizer, UK)
- Widening regulatory workload/resource gap due to steady rise in the number of marketing applications and site inspections; expected to worsen given:
 - number of post-approval changes that typically follow initial product authorization
 - growing complexity of products
 - increasing number of activities to be undertaken by Inspectorate
- Drug manufacturing more global than ever and with it dramatic rise in sourcing of drug substances and products from non-ICH countries

At Same Time, Opportunities Exist to Design a Better Approach

Drivers/enablers:

1. International developments:

- ICH guidances Q8/Q9/Q10
 - foundation for a modern science and risk-based approach to PD and manufacturing
 - also creates conditions for reduced regulatory oversight
- MOUs with key regulatory counterparts
 - All regulators facing same pressures
 - Recognition that no regulatory authority can ‘go it alone’ and that enhanced regulatory cooperation offers a more strategic solution
 - Pharmaceutical quality area particularly well-suited for cooperation

At Same Time, Opportunities Exist to Design a Better Approach (2)

2. Progressive Licensing

- “Quality” an essential element of an acceptable drug
 - and of PL
- Quality objectives align well with PL themes, in particular:
 - Life-cycle, evidence-based approach
 - Good planning
 - Accountability

Must seize the opportunity for change!

Life-cycle Management

- Knowledge gained over life-cycle allows for process and product improvement
- Quality by Design (QbD), risk management and quality system important enablers
- Regulatory flexibility conditional on knowledge transfer
- Will also require common understanding and better coordination between reviewers and inspectors

Good Planning

➤ Planning essential element of QbD:

“Deliberative design effort from product conception through commercialization” (M. Nasr, FDA, 2007)

➤ Drug quality considerations form part of proposed life-cycle management plan

➤ Importance of early communication:

- Provides regulator with a better understanding of proposed strategy
- Reduces risk of comments at submission evaluation stage

Accountability

- Responsibility for producing drugs of suitable quality ultimately rests with industry
- Demonstration of enhanced product combined with implementation of a effective quality management system, makes possible greater shift in 'ownership' of post-approval changes to industry
- ***Not de-regulation*** – same obligation to comply with regulatory requirements

Accountability (2)

- Health Canada also accountable to Canadians by virtue of regulatory mandate
- Accordingly, risk management and quality systems should also be hallmark of a modern regulator, thereby enabling sound decision-making, use of resources and continual improvement

Build upon Best Practices

Examples:

- Submission review:
 - long-established request to file pharmaceutical development information
 - Use of QOS as review template; CPID as basis for documenting ‘regulatory agreement’
- Leveraging international cooperation:
 - Effective use of MRAs, PIC/S
 - contribution and adherence to ICH guidances
 - Recent MOU with EDQM
- Risked-based approach to lot release (biologics)

Elements of A Desired State

- Manufacturers (and regulators) have extensive knowledge about critical product and process parameters and quality attributes
- Manufacturers strive for continuous improvement
- Regulator's role:
 - initial verification, subsequent audit
 - creating a regulatory environment conducive to innovation, continuous improvement...and ultimately, access to high quality medicines

Elements of a Desired State (2)

- Regulatory oversight commensurate with risk and product knowledge
- Effective internal, national and international cooperation

Implementation Considerations

- Maintaining more than one approach: traditional and QbD (and hybrid of the two)
 - Implications with respect to regulatory guidance, transparency, predictability, etc.
- Regulatory and industry preparedness
 - Training: common understanding of concepts – between and within companies and regulatory authorities
 - Requisite resources/expertise

Implementation (2)

- Regulatory and industry preparedness (cont'd)
 - Better coordination of pre-/post-market programs; establish specialized team(s)?
 - More/earlier communication
 - Guidance/policy work
 - *Trust and commitment*
- Regulatory flexibility
 - Regulations must provide necessary flexibility
 - Regulatory 'mindset' and philosophy equally important

Implementation (4)

- More *strategic and effective* International regulatory cooperation

Points to Consider

- Does support exist for a differentiated approach to regulation whereby level of regulatory oversight commensurate with risk and product knowledge/control?
- What might qualify for a reduction in regulatory oversight? Potential scope of Design Space and supportive data?
- How to best document 'regulatory agreement' for specific product: CPID? Do regulations currently support?

Points to Consider (2)

- Special considerations: biologics, legacy products
- How might product evaluation and inspection programs be aligned to provide for a more effective regulatory system?
- Need for advisory committee on pharmaceutical science?

Points to Consider (3)

- New vision, desired state and perhaps definition of ‘Quality’
- NB - New regulatory framework needs to address *all* drugs, including vast majority that are not ‘new drugs’ or for which traditional approach followed
- What’s missing?

Next Steps

- Posting of concept paper and selected Quality discussion papers for comment on PL website
- Proposed one day PL session on new framework for pharmaceutical quality to be held on June 28, 2007 in Ottawa
- Development of implementation plan that covers near, medium and long term
- Integrate feedback received into PL framework

We need to work together to succeed in
defining and implementing the Quality
component of the PL framework - your
input is welcome!

Thank you!