

# **Progressive Licensing Framework (PLF)**

# An Innovative Industry Perspective

Sandra Wainwright, Ph.D. Rx&D Regulatory Affairs Committee CAPRA Symposium October 6, 2008





## **Rx&D PLF subcommittee**

#### PLF Lead

- Sandra Wainwright
- Jean Marion

#### **Team Members**

- Simon Alexander
- Mark Braham
- Jennifer Chan
- Samar Darwish
- Loretta Delbosco
- Nabil Henein
- Maria Klapka
- Patrice Larose
- Alison Maloney
- Franca Mancino
- Manoj Saxena

Merck Frosst Canada Ltd. Rx&D Liaison

Novartis GSK Shering Plough Boehringer Ingelheim Abbott Roche Pfizer Canada Inc. Paladin Laboratories AstraZeneca Canada Inc. Sanofi Aventis Bayer





## **Rx&D C-51 subcommittee**

- Regulatory Affairs
- Federal Affairs
- Communications
- ►Legal











#### **Consultations**





# Consultations

# PLF

- 3 Consultative workshops (July 06, Nov 06, May-June-07)
- ➢ Face to face meetings
- To date, the framework has focused on concepts and themes
- The release of the framework document will be industry's first opportunity to assess the full impact on the way drugs will be approved and regulated in Canada



# Consultations

# **C-5**1

- December 17, 2007: Canada's Food and Consumer Product Safety Action Plan
- January, 2008, consultation launched by Health Canada
  - Overview provided, but not draft language.
- > April 8, 2008: Bill C-51 introduced.
  - Comprehensive amendments (59 pages)
  - Much broader than PLF





#### **C-51**





# **C-51**

- Rx&D is supportive of the modernization of Canada's regulatory regime to better meet the current and future needs of Canadians and of our health care system.
- Rx&D members are already fully compliant with many of the proposed legislative authorities. As a result, we support the inclusion of these regulatory elements into legislation.
- C-51 is an "enabling legislation" that brings into the *Food and Drugs Act* a number of principles needed to modernize the regulatory regime in Canada.
- C-51 is subject to broad interpretation and its true impact will only be apparent once the accompanying regulations are issued for consultation.





### **C-51 – Positive aspects**

- Movement to a lifecycle management of drugs based on risk/benefit assessment
- > Ability to harmonize with other Regulatory Agencies
- Formalize the striking of committees
- Formalize the provision of early scientific advice
- Efforts to curb counterfeiting (S.3.1&S3.2)
- Ability to accept electronic submissions
- Flexibility to consider housing environmental assessment regulations under the Food and Drugs Act





# **C-51 – Points for discussion**

- Confidential Business Information (s21.1 & S21.2)
- > Unlimited liability of offences (31.3a)
- Expanded power of inspectors
- Powers to mandate a wide range of post-marketing studies (s19.9)
- Threshold for recall





#### PLF





### Vision for PLF

- To help shape a regulatory framework that supports ready access to promising new therapies while continuously monitoring and re-evaluating risk/benefit throughout their life-cycle.
- Establish a new Paradigm for Health Canada
  - That will easily accommodate the scientific and technical advances in medicine thus avoiding delays in access to new medicines
  - By committing resources to develop flexible and proactive processes and infrastructure that will support increased number of earlier scientific interactions.





#### How do we make it happen?

- Harmonize regulatory requirements by taking best practices from leading international regulatory agencies and avoiding "Canadian only" solutions.
  - Bring Canada even closer in collaboration with other international regulatory bodies
- Regulatory intervention proportional to risk by linking pre-market risk/benefit assessment with postmarket management strategies.
- Enable regulatory science to be concurrent with scientific and technical innovations used in global drug development science.





## **PLF Proposal**

- Innovative approach by the PLF team to structure the consultative workshops to stimulate real discussions between Industry and Reviewers
- Central Themes of the PLF
  - Good Planning
  - Life-cycle Management
  - Risk/Benefit Evaluation
  - Accountability





# **Good Planning**

- Earlier dialogue with Health Canada on the drug development plans
- Greater predictability of decision making throughout the drug review and approval process
  - Tracking
  - Milestones
- Greater guidance/advice provided in pre-filing meetings with the inclusion of pre-filing agreements.





### Lifecycle management

- Issuance of licences with terms and conditions
  - Risk management plans
- Ability to amend licenses
- > Authority and process to request label changes
- Authority and process to request additional postmarket studies





#### **Risk/Benefit evaluation**

- Flexibility of requirements, commitments and postmarket activities based on a scientific evaluation of Risk/Benefit
- Concept of two types of licenses
  - Standard License
  - Provisional License





#### Accountability

- Ongoing requirement to justify decisions made by both Health Canada and Industry
- Decisions need to be justified and consistently applied
  - Guidance Documents





### Conclusion

- It is recognized that a modern legislative and regulatory framework is needed to enhance Performance and Predictability.
- The framework should be internationally harmonized and capable of addressing emerging challenges in drug development and yield rigorous assessments yet facilitating timely access to new therapies.
- The framework should provide for regulatory intervention proportional to risk by linking premarket risk/benefit assessment with post-market management strategies

