

Assessing the 'Safety' of a Brand Name



Lili Loorand-Stiver, BScPhm, RPh

Managing Director, Canadian Regulatory Affairs
Drug Safety Institute

November 27, 2007 CAPRA Symposium

3:00 pm



Overview

1. Introductory concepts & definitions
2. Look-alike Sound-alike (LA/SA) name examples
3. Evaluation techniques used in assessing name safety
4. FDA and EMEA LA/SA name review processes

Health Product Naming Challenge

- Health product naming presents unique challenges versus naming of typical consumer goods
- Pharmaceutical industry must strive to develop names that balance **patient safety & marketing** objectives



Look-alike/sound-alike (LA/SA) Names

- Refers to names of different health products that have:
 - **orthographic** similarities (look similar)
 - **phonetic** similarities (sound similar)
- May pose risk to patient safety by contributing to occurrence of medication errors, which may cause patient harm

Managing risks associated with look-alike/sound-alike names...

...is a **shared** responsibility:

- beginning with pharmaceutical industry & regulatory agencies
- spans entire healthcare continuum (healthcare practitioners, consumers)

Drug Names

Brand/Proprietary Name:

- name assigned and owned by the manufacturer (registered as trademark) and under which the drug is sold or advertised
- valuable intellectual property
- e.g. Viagra™

Drug Names (continued)

Nonproprietary Name (some say ‘generic’ name):

- name used to describe the drug substance in a product; assigned by regulatory agencies such as United States Adopted Names (USAN) Council, or World Health Organization’s (WHO) International Nonproprietary Names (INN) Committee
- e.g. Sildenafil (contains INN and USAN suffix stem ‘- *afil*’ used to denote vasodilators that are PDE5 inhibitors)

Quick Recap...

- Pharmaceutical naming presents special challenges in balancing patient safety & marketing objectives
- LA/SA names can contribute to occurrence of medication errors & patient harm
- Medication errors can occur at any stage of the medication use system
- Managing risks associated with LA/SA names is a shared responsibility amongst all stakeholders

Health Canada Guidance to Industry

- “Drug Name Review: Look-alike Sound-alike (LA/SA) Health Product Names”
- Sponsors should consider potential for LA/SA name similarity when developing brand names
- Health Canada will review brand names for potential to be confused with another product and cause patient harm
- Requirement that new health product ***brand names not look or sound similar to marketed brand or nonproprietary names***

Look-alike Names

ATRIVA (hypothetical)

ATIVAN (brand name)

Sound-alike Names

TOBRALEX (hypothetical)

TOBRADEX (brand name)

Look-alike and Sound-alike Names

OBREVA (hypothetical)

ABREVA (brand name)

TOBRAFLEX (hypothetical)

TOBRADEX (brand name)

Industry to Submit to Health Canada...

- Supporting evidence of having performed due diligence in evaluating 'safety' of a proposed brand name as part of submission package
- Submit:
 - risk assessment evaluation of proposed brand name supported by **'studies, data and analysis'**

Examples of Name Safety Evaluation Techniques

1. Generating potential LA/SA names of marketed products
2. Prescriptions simulation studies
3. Computerized orthographic and phonetic analysis
4. Product profile comparisons

Identifying LA/SA Names of Marketed Products

- Survey actively practicing healthcare professionals for existing LA/SA names
- Searching various drug databases and reference sources to generate LA/SA names
- NB: Search names reflective of the market for which approval is being sought

Prescription Simulation Studies

- **Verbal and handwritten** prescription examples
- Actively practicing healthcare practitioners interpret simulated orders

Computerized Orthographic and Phonetic Analysis (COPA)

- Objective, computerized analysis tool uses sophisticated algorithms to assess sound-alike and look-alike similarity between names
- Health Canada will be using POCA tool (same as US FDA's tool) that does orthographic analysis & English phonetic analysis

But name similarity alone is not enough to determine products that could be potentially confused...

Product Profile Analysis

- Assessment of **product profile characteristics** of potential LA/SA brand or nonproprietary names

Drawing A Conclusion

- Additional 'practice-based' human judgment by human experts in the field and practicing healthcare professionals to assess factors that aren't easy to automate
- Harm analysis
- Apply lessons learned from previous post-marketing experience
- Based on collective analysis of the multi-faceted data, in combination with human judgment

Not An 'Absolute Guarantee'

- Proactive LA/SA name safety analysis can't possibly 'absolutely ensure' that a drug name will not be confused with a marketed product in 'real-world' practice post marketing
- Shows that due diligence has been performed & may help reduce medication errors related to LA/SA drug names

Promotional/Misleading Brand Names

Overly promotional or misleading brand name could also be a reason for rejection of a name candidate

Name Review by the FDA

Name Safety Review

- Division of Medication Errors and Technical Support (DMETS)
- Provides pre-marketing reviews of all proprietary drug names labels and labeling in order to reduce medication error potential of proposed product
- Also provides post-marketing review and analysis of medication errors received

Name Review by the FDA (continued)

Promotional Aspect Review of Proposed Names

- Division of Drug Marketing, Advertising, and Communications (DDMAC)
- Reviews proposed brand name candidates to ensure that they are not misleading, inappropriate or exaggerative with respect to product composition or activity
- Annual rejection rate of names currently by FDA ~40%

Name Review by EMEA

(Invented) Name Review Group (NRG)

- EMEA Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP) subgroup that reviews acceptability of proposed invented names (ie. brand names) processed through the centralized approval procedure
- NRG composed of representatives from EU Member States
- Annual rejection rate averaging ~50%

Common Name Review Goal of All Regulators...

- Medication error, and potentially related patient harm minimization
- Opinions on acceptability of a proposed brand name not always consistent because based on particular nomenclature landscape
- Approval of name by one regulator does not assure approval by another

In Closing...

- Receiving regulator approval of a preferred brand name is an important regulatory as well as marketing step for sponsors, and is a hurdle that is becoming increasingly tough to clear
- The brand name may only be a *small* part of the overall New Drug Submission (NDS) package, but it is a *critical* one for industry
- Due diligence in proactively assessing name 'safety' should be a standard part of each manufacturer's drug development process

Thank you!